



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/927,296	08/10/2001	James C. Thomas JR.	45659/FLC/T503	4976		
23363	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER			
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			GORT, E	GORT, ELAINE L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3627			
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004			

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(								
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/927,296		THOMAS, JAMES C.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Elaine Gort	:	3627	$ \mathcal{M} $			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2004.							
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>23-32</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)🖾	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	of the certific	i)	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					D-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		i) Other:	лон арриовион (г т	J: 102j			

Application/Control Number: 09/927,296

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shop The Card You Pick Can Save You Money in view of Examiner's Official Notice.

Shop - The Card You Pick Can Save You Money discloses the claimed data processing system for providing rebates for charges made to a credit card by calculating a rebate amount based on purchase amounts and the crediting of the rebate to an account linked to the credit card account (See page 5 discussing "Other Features" which discusses rebates on purchases).

Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of memory, executable program instructions and processors is notoriously old and well known in the art of credit card usage and accounting to provide automated use, tracking and billing of credit card accounts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the rebate calculation and crediting of Shop - The Card You Pick Can Save You Money with the use of memory, executable program instructions and processors of Examiner's Official Notice in order to provide automated use, tracking and billing of credit card accounts with rebates.

Art Unit: 3627

Functional recitation(s) used in apparatus claims (e.g. "for charges made to a credit card as payment for insurance policies" as recited in claim 23) are given little patentable weight because they fail to add any structural limitations and are thereby regarded as intended use language. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)

Regarding claim 24, Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of Web Sites for viewing credit card account information is notoriously old and well known in the art of credit card billing to provide users with on-line up to date access to account information.

Regarding claims 25-28, Examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously old and well known in the art of rebate calculations to utilize fixed or variable percentages for calculating credit card rebates for marketing purposes.

Regarding the account being an insurance account Examiner takes Official

Notice that it is notoriously old and well in the art of credit card rebating to credit rebate
accounts established for companies that form partnership companies with banks (for
example Giant groceries with M&T Visa) in order to promote sales from the partner
company and the use of the bank's credit card and that it would have been obvious for
one of ordinary skill in the art of credit card rebates to provide rebates for any type of
company including an insurance company to form a partnership with a bank to promote

Application/Control Number: 09/927,296 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

company sales and the use of the credit card. Rebate accounts can be used for a wide variety of things such as cash back, discounts, points, rewards, free items, credits, etc...

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Elaine Gort Examiner Art Unit 3627

August 6, 2004